

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,310	11/19/2003	Sung-Tsucn Liu	02-215	6485
Carlos Nieves,	7590 I 1/09/2007		EXAM	INER
J. M. Huber Corporation			HENDRICKSON, STUART L	
333 Thornall Street Edison, NJ 08837-2220		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1793	
			<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/717,310	LIU, SUNG-TSUEN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stuart Hendrickson	1754			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timularly and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/12/2</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	•				
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/717,310

Art Unit: 1793

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Minayoshi et al. 5494651.

The reference shows vaterite having the claimed size; see figs. 15 and 20 and also column 8.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Minayoshi.

The reference does not explicitly teach the claimed properties per se, however appears to possess them due to the pictures. The abrasion resistance of claims 4 and 5 are deemed met since the material is the same in composition and size. No differences are seen.

Claims 1-3 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6, 10, 11 of U.S. Patent No. 6989142. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because The patent overlaps the claims in the ranges, thus is prima-facie obvious. See In re Malagari 182 USPQ 549. With regard to claim 3, the variation of 'about' renders the claims patentably indistinct. See also In re Kirsch 182 USPQ 286. The pictures show aggregates.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/12/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Minayoshi depicts particles which are somewhat agglomerated; see figs. 15-20, even though it describes the product as non-agglomerated. Compared to the pictures of the present specification, no patentability is seen. Claim 1 should be 'previously presented'. There is no response to the ODP rejection, so the terminal disclaimer is awaited.

No IDS has been filed in this application. Applicant is reminded of their duty to disclose as set forth in the Oath/Declaration. Vaterite is admitted as known, and references thereto should be submitted; see specification pgs. 1-2. The Goffin reference was not withdrawn merely

Application/Control Number: 10/717,310

Art Unit: 1793

because applicant argued that inherency was not present. The argument about Goffin is irrelevant in any case.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Page 3

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (571) 272-1351.

> Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754